

26
March 26, 1938

Dear Dr. Kerr: Your recent letter to the
Oregon State College of Agriculture has informed me
that the Oregon State College of Agriculture has no money for
game management research and \$20,000.00 is all
the money available for game management research.
I would like to call your attention to the fact that
approximately \$400,000 per year has been contributed
annually for many years to the Oregon Game
Protection Fund by those who take out fishing and
game hunting licenses. Amounts smaller and larger than
this are paid annually by sportsmen in nearly
every state in the Union.

During the past two seasons I paid you over \$10,000
and last season in addition to this, some \$600,000 or
\$700,000 was paid in various parts of the country
by those who hunt migratory water fowl to conserve
these birds. I would not believe that birds are
spent "Many millions of dollars are spent annually in the at-
tempt to increase fish, game and fur-bearing ani-
mals. As you will know, this is a scientific and
educational problem, that requires the services of
experts. Is there not money available in the
state of Oregon for the protection and propagation
of game fish and game birds? You will find in
the present spending \$300,000 to \$400,000 annually to
protect and increase her game resources. Of this
amount, in round numbers about one third is spent
in legal services for the enforcement of game laws.
Two thirds is spent in propagation and distribution
of game fish and game birds.

A large proportion of this latter amount has been
spent in a haphazard, mischievous way so that much
of the money has been wasted.

The main reason for this waste is that the employ-
ees expending this money have had no real training.
They do not know the basic facts of game management.
They know nothing of the underlying causes which
contribute to the increase or decrease of various
species of game. As a rule, they hold positions be-
cause they have some local reputation for an ability
to catch fish or to shoot birds on the wing.

Very truly yours,

3201 -2-1000

For many years, I have been convinced that Oregon State College should handle our funds relating to the propagation and distribution of game. When such a sum as \$200,000 has been spent in any given year, we should be able to furnish proof to show that adequate results have been achieved or reasons why they are not achieved. Anyone knows that a private business could not exist if it spent large sums of money and yet had no figures to show returns on the investment. If you can give me the name of any other department in the state of Oregon that expends such a large amount of public money in such a haphazard way, I should like to have it.

I must say that I have wandered a bit from the purpose of my letter as the above relates to a problem that has yet to be worked out. Is there any evidence of how much money has been spent to

In preparation for the above, I feel that Oregon State College should take the lead and should establish some kind of a department of fish and game management such as eastern institutions have established. The conservation of our game resources is a problem of public importance. You, of course, are familiar with the fact that in southeastern Oregon the federal government has recently expended \$700,000 and I am satisfied will spend several hundred thousand more in the erection of what will be the greatest wild life refuge in the United States. Moreover, your red squirrel has funding. Oregon is strategically located to build and capitalize on her game resources. I believe that the time to do this is now. I feel it is the duty of Oregon State College to lead the way.

need and know what you think of this. Will you kindly let me know what Oregon's position is regarding this problem. Your need and concern are to

WLF:pf